EPOXIDATION OF yMETIIYLENE-y-BU'I'YROLACTONES BY DIMETIIYLDIOXIRANE

Waldemar Adam, Lazaros Haajiarapoglou, Volker Jiiger * and Bernhard* Seidel. Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, D-8700 Würzburg, Federal Republic of Germany

Abstract : Dimethyldioxirane (as acetone solution) converts γ -methylene- γ -butyrolactones and other enol lactones and esters to the corresponding epoxides in excellent yields.

y-Methylene-y-butyrolactones 1 constitute a class of compounds that only recentlyt have become accessible, the chemistry of which warrants intensive investigation. An intriguing feature of these enol lactones is the presence of both the $C=C$ and the $C=0$ functionalities, making them susceptible towards attack by electrophiles nucleophiles. This leads normally to 1,4-dicarbonyl derivatives or, in catalytic processes, to the indicated cyclic isomers (Eq. 1) 2. Of particular relevance to the present study are preliminary results on the bromine addition to

yield highly reactive dibromo compounds 2d,3a and the reluctance of 1 towards mCPBA epoxidation, making the enol ester C=C bond much less reactive as compared to an (internal) trialkyl-substituted alkene $2c,3b$. Presently we report on the successful epoxidation of enol Inctones I to **afford the** labile epoxy esters 2, which constitute potentially useful building blocks for organic synthesis.

SCHEME 1

A few epoxy esters related to 2 have been prepared directly from enol esters using peroxy acids 4, but in most cases the former have been postulated as transient intermediates, rearranging to keto esters 5. In one case, a natural product containing this epoxy lactone moiety has been isolated, nnrnety jolkinolide B, and subsequently . .

its structure elucidated by spectroscopy, chemical transformation, and X-ray crystallography 6, and by total synthesis 7.

An efticient epoxidizing agent, which perrorms under striclly neuu-nl condition **and thus** avoids the acid-catalyzed transformations of the epoxide products, a common difficulty with peroxy acids, is dimethyldioxirane. 8 Most recently (Scheme 1) it was shown that dioxiranes (as ketone solutions)⁹ convert enol ethers ¹⁰ to the corresponding epoxide $\lceil 1 \rceil$, aflatoxin B_1 ¹¹ to the corresponding epoxide $\lceil 2 \rceil$, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons 12 to arene oxides $\lceil 3 \rceil$, and allenes $\lceil 13 \rceil$ to spirodioxides $\lceil 4 \rceil$. It was our contention that dimcthyldioxirane should be an ideal reagent for the epoxidation of enol lactones.

Indeed, dimethyldioxirane transformed y-methylene-y-buyrolactones 1 to the corresponding spiroepoxy lactones $2 \text{ (Eq. 2) in high yield (Table 1).}$ Attempts to prepare the spiroepoxy lactones 2 by peroxy acid

epoxidation of these exocyclic enol.lactones, even under buffered conditions, led to complete decomposition. The general epoxidation procedure entails adding rapidly a solution of dimethyldioxirane (10-20% molar excess) in acetone (ca. 0.07-0.11 M), which was dried over molecular sieves (4 \AA), to a cooled (-20 °C), stirred solution of the y-methylene-y-butyrolactone (1.06 mmol) in abs. CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) under N₂. The stirring was continued until complete consumption (cf. Table 1) of the enol lactone 1 and the solvent removed in vacuo, yielding the hitherto unknown, labile spiroepoxy lactones 2 in high purity (NMR,TLC).

Table 1. Epoxidation ^a of the *y*-Methylene-*y*-butyrolactones I to the Spirocpoxy Lactones 2 *by Dimethyldioxirane.*

Methylene-lactone	R ¹	R^2	R^3	Reaction time (h)	Epoxy lactone 2	RefC
					Yield, $(\%)$ b	
a	Н	H	H	2.0	96	14
b	CH ₃	CH ₃	н	3.0	96	15
c	CH ₃	Н	H	3.5	95 d	16
d	н	н	CH ₃	3.5	94 ^e	17

a In CH2Cl2 / CH3COCH3 at -20 °C. b Yield of isolated product. ^c Selected spectral data for each of the 1.7-dioxaspiro[2.4]heptan-6-ones 2 are given in the footnotes ; IR data were obtained by using a Perkin Elmer 1420 instrument; ¹H NMR (250 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (63 MHz) spectral were run on a Bruker WM-250 spectrometer, referring chemical shifts to Mc4Si; MS spectra were obtained on a Varian MAT CH 7 instrument. d As a ca. 60:40 mixture of two diastercomers. ^e As a ca. 65:35 mixture of two diastereomers.

As an extension, the three endocyclic enol lactones and esters 3, 5, and 7 (cf. Table 2) were examined,

comparing the epoxidation by dimethyldioxirane with that of m-chloroperbenzoic acid. In all cases, dimethyldioxirane proved advantageous in that shorter reaction times and lower temperatures were required, affording the epoxides 4, 6, and 8 in excellent yield. Thus, in contrast to peroxy acids, dimethyldioxirane (as acetone solution) is an efficient oxygen transfer reagent, permitting the isolation of sensitive epoxides under extremely mild (neutral) conditions. The utilization of the novel spiroepoxides 2 is being actively explored and will be reported subsequently.

Table 2. Epoxidation a of Enol-Lactones and Esters by Dimethyldioxirane

^a In CH₂CI₂/CH₃COCH₃. ^b Isolated yields. ^c m-Chloroperbenzoic acid epoxidized 3,4-dihydro-4,4-dimethyl-pyranone-2 to the corresponding epoxide in 80% yield (1H NMR) in 6 h at room temperature.

Caution: Many epoxides are known to exhibit genetoxic effects, e.g. acetoxyoxirane 20; therefore the epoxides reported here should be prepared and handled with due care.

Acknowledgement: We wish to thank Interox Peroxid-Chemie GmbH (Munich, F.R.G.) for a generous gift of Curox (potassium peroxomonosulfate). Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully appreciated.

References and Notes

- 1. (a) Jäger, V.; Günther, H.J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 2543.
	- (b) Günther, H.J.; Guntrum, E.; Jäger, V. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1984, 15, and references given.
	- (c) The parent compound 1a is commercially available from FLUKA AG, Buchs/Switzerland, the 4,4dimethyl derivative 1d is offered by Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Beerse/Belgium.
- 2. (a) Colvin, E.W.; Malchenko, S.; Raphael, R.A.; Roberts, J.S. J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans. 1973, 1989. (b) Guntrum, E.; Kuhn, W.; Spönlein, W.; Jäger V. Synthesis 1986, 921.
	-
	- (c) Jäger, V.; Kuhn, W.; Schubert, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 26, 2583.
(d) Jäger, V.; Franz, R.; Schwab, W.; Häfele, B.; Schröter, D.; Schäfer, D.; Hümmer, W.; Guntrum, E.; Seidel, B. in Chemsitry of Heterocyclic Compounds (Studies in Organic Chemistry, Vol.35); J. Kovác, P. Zálupsky (Eds.), 58-75, Elsevier, 1988.
(e) Adam, W.; Kliem, W.; Lucchini, V. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1988, 869.
	-
-
- 3. (a) Guntrum, E. Ph.D Thesis, Würzburg 1982.
(b) Kuhn, W. Ph.D Thesis, Würzburg 1986.
- -
- 4. (a) Amos, R.A.; Katzenellenbogen, J.A. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 2537.

(b) House, H.H.; Trost, B.M. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 2502.

(c) Hoffsommer, R.D.; Slates, H.D.; Taub, D.; Wendler, N.L. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 35.
- 5. McDonald, R.N. in *Mechanisms of Molecular Migrations;* Thyagarajan B.S. (Ed.),Vol. 3, Chapter 2; Wiley-Interscience, New York, 197 1
- 6. Uemura, D. ; Hirata, Y. *Tetrahedron Left. 1972, 1387* ; *1977, 283.*
- *!:* Katsumura, S.; Kimura, A.; Isoe, A. *Tetrahedron*, 1989, 45, 1337.
- 8:(a) Adam, W. ; **Curci ,** R. ; Edwards, J.O. *Act. Chem. Res. 1989,* in press.
-
- (b) Murray, R.W. *Chem. Rev.* 1989, in press.

(c) Curci, R. in *Advances in Oxygenated Processes* ; Baumstark, A.L. (Ed.) ; Vol. 2, Chapter I ; JAI Press Greenwich, in press.
- $9.(a)$ Murray, R.W.; Jeyaraman, R. *J. Org. Chem.* 1985, 50, 284
- (b) Adam, W. ; Ghan , Y.-Y. ; Cremer, D. ; Gauss + I. ; Scheutzow , D. ; Schindler , M. J. *Org. Chem.* 1987, <u>52</u> , 2800.
- $\left(c\right)$ Mello, R. ; Fiorentino. M. ; Sciacovelli, 0. ; Curci , R. *J. Org. Chem. 1988, 3, 3890.*
- Troisi, L.; Cassidei, L.; Lopez, L.; Mello, R.; Curci, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989, 20, 2
- $\frac{10}{11}$. Baertschi , SW. ; Raney , K.D. ; Stone, M.P. ; Harris , T.M. *J:Am. Chem. Sot.* 1988 ,110,
- 12. Agarwal, S.K.; Boyd, D.R.; Jennings, B.W., McGuckin, R.M.; O'Kane, G.A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989,<u>30</u>,123.
- 13. (a) Crandall, J.K.; Batal, D.J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, 53, 1338. (b) Crandall , J.K.; Batal , D.J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, 29, 4791
- 14. **2a**; IR (CCl4): 1815 cm⁻¹ (C=O).- ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3) : δ = 2.32-2.43 (m, 1H), 2.67-

3.01 (m, 3H), 2.97 and 3.31 (AB, J_{AB} = 3.72 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 26.0, 28.1, 50.4 and 88.3 (oxirane C), 174.1 (C=O). MS (70 eV): m/z (%) = 114 (M⁺, 8), 86 (32), 56 (100).

- 15. **2b**; IR (CCl4): 1820 cm⁻¹ (C=O) .- ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3): $\delta = 1.11$ (s, CH3), 1.25 (s, CH3), 2.54 and 2.71 (AB, $J_{AB} = 17.43$ Hz, 2H), 2.95 and 3.23 (CD, $J_{CD} = 3.57$ Hz, 2H).- 13C NMR $(63 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$; $\delta = 21.7, 25.4, 37.3, 42.8, 47.7$ and 93.6 (oxirane C), 173.1 (C=O). MS (70 eV) : m/z $(\%)$ = 142 $(M^+, 0.3)$, 113 (4), 70 (7), 56 (100).
- 16. 2c; IR (CCl4): 1810 cm^{-1} (C=O) ; 60:40 mixture of two diastereomers by NMR, $1H NMR$ (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.05 and 1.21 (2d, J = 6.87 and 7.16 Hz, 3H; CH₃ of minor and major isomers), 2.35-3.12 (m, 3H), 2.93, 3.30 and 3.02, 3.20 (AB of minor and major isomers, J = 3.59 and 3.64 Hz, 2H).- ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃), major isomer: δ = 16.7, 33.2, 36.3, 48.9 and 92.3 (oxirane C), 173.5 (C=O); minor isomer: $\delta = 13.2$, 31.7, 35.7, 48.2 and 90.3 (oxirane C), 173.6 (C=O). MS (70 eV) m/z (%) = 128 (M⁺, 0.6), 99 (6), 70 (25), 42 (100).
- 17. 2d; IR (CCl4): 1815 cm⁻¹ (C=O); 65:35 mixture of two diastereomers by NMR.¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDC13): $\delta = 1.37$ and 1.42 (2d, J = 7.21 and 7.22 Hz, 3H; CH₃ of major and minor isomers), 2.10-3.17 (m, 3H), 2.94, 3.25 and 2.96, 3.31 (AB of minor and major isomers, $J = 3.90$ and 3.63 Hz, 2H).-¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃), major isomer: δ = 15.5, 33.9, 34.4, 49.5 and 86.3 (oxirane C), 177.4 (C=O); minor isomer: $\delta = 16.4, 34.1, 34.6, 50.9$ and 86.9 (oxirane C), 176.8 ppm (C=O). MS (70 eV), m/z (%) = 128 (M⁺, 1), 99 (6), 70 (19), 42 (100).
- 18. 4; IR (CCl4): 1780 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3); δ = 1.12 (s, CH3), 1.26 (s, CH3). 2.17-2.35 $(m, 2H)$, 3.01 (dd, J = 2.55 and 1.56 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (d, 1H, J = 2.70 Hz); ¹³C NMR (63 **MHZ,** CDC13): 6 = 23.71 26.6, 31.3, 58.3 and 77.8 (oxirane C), 167.3 ppm (C=O).- MS (70 eV) : m/z $(\%)$ = 142 $(M⁺, 1)$, 113 (15) , 57 (100) .
- 19. 6; IR (CCl4): 1775 cm⁻¹ (C=O); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 1.30 - 2.90 (m).- ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl3): 6= 19.8, 20.0, 24.7, 27.4, 27.5, 27.8, 61.5 and 87.0 (oxirane C), 168.1 ppm $(C=O)$.- MS (70 eV): m/z (%) = 168 (M⁺, 2), 124 (30), 111 (100).
- 20. Forth, W.; Henschler, D.; Rummel, W. (Eds.), in *Pharmakologie und Toxikologie,* 5th ed., (Received in Germany 2 June 1989)